

2010 National Homebrew Competition

2nd round, June 17th, 2010 Twin Cities, MN

Cover Sheet

How to Read Your Scoresheet

Left Column:

Judges must give their names and are encouraged to give contact information so that you can respond with praise or constructive criticism to their comments. Please don't expect the judge to remember your beer. Complaints about your score are unlikely to accomplish anything but enmity, but helpful comments that point out objective errors or poor quality of feedback may help the judge to improve in the future

If you feel that there is a significant problem with the quality of judging you have received, please contact the organizer of the competition and, if the judge has checked a BJCP rank, the BJCP (at the address at the bottom of this sheet). Include copies of the scoresheet and any other relevant information.

The **Judge Qualification** section is fairly self-explanatory. An Apprentice is a judge who has taken but not passed the BJCP exam. A non-BJCP judge has not taken the exam, but may be skilled. Honorary Master is a rank given out by the BJCP for recognition of exceptional service to the BJCP and/or homebrewing community. The other ranks are levels within the BJCP, based on the judge's experience and exam score.

The **Descriptor Definitions** list is used by some judges as a shorthand way to communicate the presence of common beer characteristics. Many, but not all, of these are considered flaws in beer, although some will be a flaw in some styles and desired in others. See the judge's comments on the right side of the sheet for more information.

Right Column

The Category and Subcategory designation shows the style against which your beer was judged. Most of the score your beer received represents how well the judges felt your beer represented this style. See below for more about scoring and style.

The **Bottle Inspection** is not used in determining your beer's score, but certain clues to potential problems can be gleaned from a bottle inspection. For example, "Ring around the collar," a line of material that appears on the bottle neck at the liquid surface, is sometimes an indicator of contamination, although it can have other causes. This section can also be used for comments about packaging in violation of some contests guidelines such as the use of distinctive bottles or incorrect bottle size.

Most entries into homebrew competitions are in categories that describe a particular style of beer. These styles are usually derived from a commercial beer, or group of similar beers, or a type of beer that is popular among home brewers. The score that is assigned to your beer is an indication of how closely the judge felt your beer matched the characteristics of that style. The style adherence is separated into four sections: Aroma, Appearance, Flavor, and Mouthfeel. The judges are looking for the presence and/or absence of various characteristics in each of these four sections, depending on the style. Your beer was scored accordingly.

BJCP Cover Sheet Copyright © 2009 Beer Judge Certification Program rev. 091023

Judges and/or Stewards

Check all score sheets for accuracy and make sure the judge's full name is printed on each one. Attach this cover sheet on top of all score sheets before submitting them to the organizer.

Entry Number

10881

Category # 23 Subcategory (a-f) A
Ordinal Position in Flight: 3 of 6

This beer advanced to a mini-BOS round

Final Assigned Score



At least two judges from the flight in which your beer was entered reached consensus on your final assigned score. It is not necessarily an average of the individual scores.

Place Awarded



In the final section, **Overall Impression**, the judge conveys how enjoyable the beer was and should attempt to offer suggestions for improvement. It may also be used to summarize the judge's feelings on aspects of the beer that don't fit neatly into one of the other four sections. The difference between a very good beer and a world class beer can often be in subtle or intangible details. Likewise, beers with no easily discernible flaws can be lacking in specialness or "magic." This section is used to give such feedback.

The **Scoring Guide** is your guide to understanding the meaning of your total score on the 50 point scale used on this scoresheet.

Outstanding (45-50) – A world class example of the style. A beer with great character and no flaws.

Excellent (38-44) – Beers in this range may have no flaws but may be missing the intangibles for a world class beer.

Very Good (30-37) – Beers in this range may have a minor flaw (technical or stylistic), or may be lacking in balance or complexity.

Good (21-29) – A satisfactory beer that generally fits the style parameters. Scores near the upper end of this range may have only a few minor flaws or be slightly out of style and also may be

lacking in balance or complexity. Scores near the lower end of this range tend to have more flaws and are likely to have stylistic inconsistencies as well.

Fair (14-20) – This beer has its share of problems that may include missing the style parameters, off flavors and aromas, balance problems, contamination, or other major flaws. Scores near the lower end of this range exhibit more major flaws.

Problematic (0-13) – A beer with a major problem (usually contamination) that overwhelms all other flavors and aromas. Often barely palatable.

In box to the right of the Scoring Guide the judge has reiterated the feedback given in the five scored sections, breaking it down differently. Your beer has been rated on three scales: Stylistic Accuracy, Technical Merit, and Intangibles. The aroma of Cascade hops in a Bohemian Pilsener would be an example of a problem in Stylistic Accuracy. This can be thought of as recipe feedback. Excessive sourness would usually indicate a contamination problem which would be classified under Technical Merit. This can be thought of as process feedback. Some characteristics don't fall neatly into one category, for example grassy aromas might be from the type of hop used, or from using oxidized hops. Here the judge has tried to make the best guess for these ratings. Intangibles rates the same characteristic discussed above in Overall Impression. The checkboxes also give the entrant an overview of the beer's evaluation. By filling out the checkboxes, the judge is encouraged to think about the beer as a whole after the words are written and may also help justify the final score.

The Beer Judge Certification Program

The BJCP is the only body in North America that tests and certifies beer judges. The BJCP is a not-for-profit organization, and BJCP judges do not charge for their services. Contact the BJCP at: Comp Director@BJCP.org.



2010 National Homebrew Competition Beer Checksheet

AHA/BJCP Sanctioned Competition Program http://www. Lian Copyright © 2009 — BJCP, Inc Style/Category 2-3 Entry # Judge Na James Edgins BJCP Certified D0169 dbrewr@msn.com Judge Er Other Notes: INSTRUCTIONS: '■' or '\overline{\ov Other Esters Malt Hops ☑ Citrusv ☐ 'Fruity ☐ Brett. Aspect L M H □ Grainy ☐ Earthy ☐ Apple/Pear ☐ Fruit Malt □ Caramel ☐ Floral □ Banana ☐ Lactic ☐ Bready Hops ☐ Berry ☐ Smoke ☐ Rich Grassv Esters ☐ Flawed Herbal ☐ Citrus ☐ Spice Phenols ☐ Dark Fruit ☐ Toasty ☐ Piney ☐ Dried Fruit □ Vinous Alcohol ☐ Roasty ☐ Spicy ☐ Grape □ Wood Sweetness Acidity ☐ Burnt ☐ Woody ☐ Stone Fruit Comments > **APPEARANCE** Color Specifiers ☐ Copper Brown ☐ Black ☐ Straw ☐ Yellow ☐ Gold □ Amber Aspect 0 L M H Beer ☐ Cream Beige □Tan ☐ Brown ☐ White □ Ivory Head Clarity Other ☐ Flat □ Lace ☐ Legs Opaque Head Size Head Retention Comments > **Head Texture** Hops **Esters** Other Balance 20 FLAVOR Malt Aspect 0 M ☐ Grainy ☐ Citrusy ☐ 'Fruity ☐ Brett. □ Malty L □ Caramel □ Earthy ☐ Apple/Pear ☐ Fruit ☐ Hoppy Malt Hops □ Bready ☐, Floral □ Banana □ Lactic □ Even Grassy □ Smoke **Esters** ☐ Rich ☐ Berry Phenols ☐ Dark Fruit ☐ Herbal ☐ Citrus ☐ Spice □ Toasty ☐ Piney ☐ Dried Fruit □ Vinous Sweetness ☐ Roasty ☐ Spicy ☐ Grape □ Wood Bitterness □ Burnt □ Woody ☐ Stone Fruit Alcohol Acidity more mat (brown Ale-like) Harshness Comments > MOUTHFEEL Flaws ☐ Cloying Aspect 0 L M H □ Flat Body ☐ Gushed ☐ Sweet □ Medium Carbonation □ Hot Warmth □ Harsh ☐ Drv ☐ Flawed Creaminess □ Slick □ Biting Astringency **OVERALL IMPRESSION** Drinkability Comments Assessment L M H ☐ I would finish this sample Stylistic Accuracy MI would drink a pint of this beer I would pay money for this beer **Technical Merit** 00000 Intangibles ☐ Please send me the recipe!!! TOTAL Use back of sheet for additional comments Scoring Outstanding (45-50): World-class example of style Good (21-29): Misses the mark on style and/or minor flaws (38-44): Exemplifies style well, requires minor tuning Fair (14-20): Off flavors, aromas or major style deficiencies

Very Good (30-37): Generally within style parameters, minor flaws Problem (0-13): Major off flavors and aromas dominate FLAWS (check where perceived or by indicating L, M or H intensity) See http://www.bjcp.org/faults.html for suggest											
FLAWS (check	Aroma	Flavor	Mouth	Fault	Aroma	Flavor	Mouth	bjcp.org/fault Fault	Aroma	Flavor	ed fixes.
Acetaldehyde				Light-struck				Sour/Acidic			
Alcoholic/Hot				Medicinal				Smoky			
Astringent				Metallic				Spicy			
Diacetyl				Musty				Sulfur			
DMS				Oxidized				Vegetal			
Estery				Plastic				Vinegary			
Grassy				Solvent/Fusel				Yeasty			

Turrence the brown ale character.



Grassy

2010 National Homebrew Competition Beer Checksheet

AHA/BJCP Sanctioned Competition Program

http://www.bjcp	o.org			Copyright © 2009 — BJCP, Inc.										
Judge I Toff Donnor					_\ St	yle/Catego	ry	23	a		Entry #	108	188	
Judge F BJCP National C0068								A	10.00		017		121	
Judge i BJCP	nauc man@	umich ed	11		_	pecial Ingre			3 0 0 0	wn al	Im	perial	1177)	
Judge E jsrenner@umich.edu Judge E														
INSTRUCTIONS: '■' or '☑' boxes for attributes you perceive. Circle any boxes where style expectations were not met.											net.			
# Aspect		L M H	Mal □ Gra		Hop ☑ Citru		sters		Other Brett.	S-2000 - 1000	£1.000 19	12	_	
# Aspect Malt			☐ Car		☑ Earth		pple/Pea		Fruit				9	
Hops			Brea	•	☐ Flora	al □Ba	anana		Lactic			- 1	1	
Esters			Rich Ric		☐ Gras				Smoke				☐ Flawed	
Phenols Alcohol			□ Dar ■ Toa		⊞ Herb □ Pine		ried Fruit		Spice Vinous					
Sweetnes			☐ Roa	•	☐ Spicy				Wood					
Acidity			☐ Bur		₩ Woo		one Frui		5-	1 .		W		
			Comm	nents >	Big A			SUVD	risme	-ly low	darku	altev	ident	
APPEARANC	SE	L M H	Beer	□Str		olor Specifi ellow 🗆 G		□ Ambe	r D.Co	pper 🗷 B	rown 🗆 Bl	ack		
Aspect Clarity			Head	□ Wh	Dec perconant reco			☐ Beige	圖 Ta		rown	ack	5	
Head Size			Other					☐ Opaqu	•					
Head Retention	n 🗆													
Head Texture	_		Comn Ma	nents >	Нор		sters		Other	Balan	CO	20		
FLAVOR # Aspect	0	L M H	□ Gra		Citru		ruity'		Brett.	□ Malt		20	1	
Malt			Car		☐ Earth		ople/Pea	r 🗆	Fruit	□ Нор	•		18	
Hops			☑ Bre		☐ Flora		anana		Lactic	☐ Eve	n			
Esters Phenols			Ricl	n k Fruit	☐ Gras	•			Smoke Spice				☐ Flawed	
Sweetnes			☐ Toa		☐ Pine		ried Fruit		Vinous					
Bitterness	100000		■ Roa		☐ Spic	y □G	rape		Wood					
Alcohol			☐ Bur	nt	🖺 Woo	dy 🗆 S	tone Frui	it 🗆						
Acidity Harshnes	s 🗐													
Harstilles	s 🖪				Λ	A 1	1.	1						
			Comm	nents >	Again	, dark	ma It	VIOL	N.					
MOUTHFEEL			Fla	ws	Fini	sh				nents	The second second	5		
Aspect Body			☐ Flat		☐ Cloy ☐ Swe								4	
Carbonation			☐ Hot		☐ Medi									
Warmth			☐ Har		Dry							'	☐ Flawed	
Creaminess			☐ Slic	k	☐ Bitin	g								
Astringency OVERALL IN	NDDEC	DDDDD		Drinka	hility				Come	nents		10		
Assessmen		L M H	□lwo	ould finis	h this sar	nple						,	-	
Stylistic Accura			I wo	ould drinl	k a pint of	f this beer -	-but e	mly one	pint,	ittires	the pal	ate		
Technical Meri	it			ould pay	money for	r this beer	not	enous	hor	own al	e chara	cher		
Intangibles TOTAL			⊔ Plea		d me the r	ecipe!!! eet for ado	hased			DTION		50		
Scoring Outst	anding	(45-50): Worl	ld-class exar				Good			ne mark on st	yle and/or min			
Guide Excell	lent	(38-44): Exer	nplifies style	e well, req	uires minor	tuning	Fair	(14-20):	Off flavo	ors, aromas or	major style de	eficiencies	38	
Very		(30-37): Gene				term dustrianness living					aromas domin			
FLAWS (chec	k whe	re perceived	or by in	dicating	L, M or l	H intensity	Se	e http://v	www.bj	cp.org/fau	Its.html for	suggest	ed fixes.	
Fault	Arom	a Flavor	Mouth	Fault		Aroma	Flavor	Mou	ıth F	ault	Aroma	Flavor	Mouth	
Acetaldehyde				Light-st						Sour/Acidic				
Alcoholic/Hot				Medicir			-	-		Smoky				
Astringent Diacetyl				Metallic Musty	;	-	-			Spicy Sulfur	-			
DMS				Oxidize	ed					/egetal	+			
Catomi				Diseti-						/in a mami				

Yeasty

Solvent/Fusel